Testing of Agrochemical Formulations Using In Vitro and Ex Vivo Eye Irritation Test Methods

N Choksi¹, AJ Clippinger², S Gehen³, M Corvaro⁴, SN Kolle⁵, K Bentley⁶, A Hofstra⁷, M Inforzato⁸, N Ryan⁹, E Webb⁹, W Casey¹⁰, D Allen¹

¹ILS, RTP, NC, United States; ²PETA International Science Consortium Ltd, London, United Kingdom; ³Corteva Agriscience, Indianapolis, IN, United States; ⁴Corteva Agriscience, Rome, Italy; ⁵BASF SE, Ludwigshafen, Germany; ⁶FMC, Newark, DE, United States; ⁷Syngenta Canada Inc, Guelph, ON, Canada; ⁸Syngenta Crop Protection LLC, Greensboro, NC, United States; ⁹Bayer CropScience, St. Louis, MO, United States; ¹⁰NIH/NIEHS/DNTP/NICEATM, RTP, NC, United States

Regulatory acceptance and implementation of new approach methodologies depend on publicprivate partnerships, which allow communication and cooperation among federal agencies and the private sector. The PETA International Science Consortium Ltd., the Interagency Coordinating Committee on the Validation of Alternative Methods, the National Toxicology Program Interagency Center for the Evaluation of Alternative Toxicological Methods, and CropLife America companies are collaborating on a three-phase evaluation to assess the applicability of in vitro eye irritation test methods to assess eye irritation potential for agrochemical formulations. Six formulations with existing in vivo data classified as nonirritating or severely irritating were tested in Phase 1. The formulations were tested in the bovine corneal opacity and permeability (including histopathology), neutral red release, isolated chicken eye (including histopathology), EpiOcular (eye irritation test and time-to-toxicity protocols), and porcine cornea reversibility test methods. Each method predicted the same category as the rabbit test for most of the tested formulations. Ten additional agrochemical formulations with in vivo data, representing a wider range of eye irritation classifications, were evaluated in Phase 2. While none of the methods directly correlated with the in vivo results, several methods showed potential for use in a defined approach to assess agrochemical formulations. Phase 1 and 2 results will be used to identify methods for evaluation of \leq 30 formulations in Phase 3 and can form the basis of a defined approach for testing of agrochemical formulations for eye irritation potential. This project was funded with federal funds from the NIEHS, NIH under Contract No. HHSN273201500010C.